

- b. Inspect angle with a gonioprism to ensure that a good view is available at the nasal implant location.
- Place the gonioprism on the cornea and position the patient and surgical microscope as needed to visualize the trabecular meshwork, through the gonioprism, on the nasal side of the eye. Focus on the landmarks in the angle of the eve (Figures 4a & 4b). Look up from the iris root to find the scleral spur (white line). Then look for Schwalbe's line (white line) down from the cornea. The trabecular meshwork (typically a red/brown line) is between the sclera spur and Schwalbe's line. Schlemm's canal is behind the trabecular meshwork



Figure 4a. iStent *in<mark>j</mark>ect* W Implant Site



Figure 4b. iStent inject W Implant Site

- d. After visualization of the trabecular meshwork, the Tyvek® tray lid containing the iStent inject W system should be opened and presented to the user. The device should be handled in the sterile field. Caution: Do not use the device if the Tyvek lid has been opened or if the packaging appears damaged. In such cases, the sterility of the device may
- e. Hold the injector as shown in **Figure 5** with your index finger comfortably on the micro insertion sleeve retractor



- Figure 5. Hand position on injector f. Injection of two stents:
- congenital anomalies of the angle, including peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS), rubeosis, and any other angle a. Inject cohesive viscoelastic into the anterior chamber to assist with chamber maintenance. b. Remove the Tube Protector prior to entering the evel
 - Place injector through the same temporal corneal incision used to perform cataract surgery, being careful to avoid contact with the cornea and iris in order to minimize sequelae associated with device-cornea touch, stent obstruction and/or iritis. Guide the injector across the anterior chamber, just beyond the pupillary margin, and then slide back the micro-insertion sleeve retractor (teal colored) to expose the micro insertion tube and trocar.

endothelial cell density) or with risk factors for corneal compromise following cataract surgery (e.g., advanced age, severe nuclear sclerosis). 5. Non-clinical testing has demonstrated that the iStent, inject W is MR Conditional. Please see the "MRI SAFETY

INFORMATION" section at the end of this document on conditions for safe scanning.

iStent inject W Injector Design

Stent Delivery

Micro-Insertion Tube

rather than 230 µm for Model G2-M-IS.

In eyes with angle closure glaucoma.

may cause elevated episcleral venous pressure

anterior chamber (AC) angle

intended implant location.

2. INDICATIONS FOR USE

3. CONTRAINDICATIONS

or conditions:

4. WARNINGS

Buttor

Micro-Insertion

Micro-Insertion Sleeve

Micro-Insertion

-Tube (w/bridge)

Figure 3a. iStent *inject* W G2-W Injector Design

Figure 3b. iStent inject W G2-W Injector Distal End

into Schlemm's canal to improve aqueous outflow through the natural physiologic pathway. The implant is provided in

Glaukos® Corporation to hold two stents to be implanted ohe at a time into Schlemm's canal.

When properly implanted, the iStent *inject* W stent is intended to create a bypass through the trabecular meshwork

a pre-loaded configuration allowing for precise implantation into Schlemm's canal. The injector has been designed by

Data from the clinical study of the Model G2-M-IS system, a prior iteration of the iStent inject W Model G2-W System,

Pivotal Clinical Trial Results", below). The G2-W stents include a wider proximal end in the anterior chamber of 360 μm,

The iStent *inject* W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System Model G2-W is indicated for use in conjunction with cataract surgery

for the reduction of intraocular pressure (IOP) in adult patients with mild to moderate primary open-angle glaucoma.

The iStent *inject* W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System Model G2-W is contraindicated under the following circumstances

In eyes with traumatic, malignant, uveitic, or neovascular glaucoma or discernible congenital anomalies of the

In patients with retrobulbar tumor, thyroid eye disease, \$turge-Weber Syndrome or any other type of condition that

1. The following conditions may prohibit sufficient visualization of the angle required for safe and successful stent

2. The surgeon should perform a slit lamp gonioscopy examination prior to taking a patient to surgery to exclude

3. Patients with peripheral iridotomies are at risk of stent dislocation to the posterior chamber and related sequelae.

4. The iStent *inject* W is intended for implantation in conjunction with cataract surgery, which may impact corneal

health. Therefore, caution is indicated in eyes with evidence of corneal compromise (e.g., corneal guttae or low

abnormalities that could lead to improper placement of the stent and pose a hazard.

implantation: corneal haze, corneal opacity, or any other conditions that may inhibit the gonioscopic view in the

if the G2-W system (see Section 13, "IStent inject G2-M-IS Syste

<---- Troca

- 5. PRECAUTIONS
- 1. The surgeon should inform the patient that the stent is MR Conditional (as noted on their Patient ID card), and if the patient needs to undergo an MRI, they should let their doctor know they have an iStent inject W stent implanted in
- 2. After the surgery, the surgeon should give the patient the Patient ID card (enclosed in the iStent inject W packaging) with the appropriate information filled in, and should advise the patient to keep the card in a safe place, e.g., his or her wallet, for future reference. The surgeon should advise the patient that this Patient ID card contains importar information related to the iStent *inject* W and that the card should be shown to their current and future health care
- 3. The surgeon should monitor the patient postoperatively for proper maintenance of intraocular pressure. If intraocular pressure is not adequately maintained after surgery, the surgeon should consider an appropriate additional therapy to reduce intraocular pressure.
- 4. The safety and effectiveness of the iStent inject W system has not been established as an alternative to the primary treatment of glaucoma with medications. The effectivehess of this device has been demonstrated only in patients

iStent *inject* W **Injector and Stent Placement Techniques**



Figure 6, iStent inject W Implant Location d. Locate the trabecular meshwork and select an implant location (Figure 6). Apply light pressure (or Dimple) onto the

trabecular meshwork with the injector to deliver the stent (Figure 7).



Figure 7. iStent inject W Implant Procedure (left: approach the TM; center: trocar pierces TM; right: dimple tissue and inject)

e. Center the trocar inside the micro-insertion tube, relax hand and squeeze the stent delivery button with your index finger. A single audible click will indicate that the first stent has been delivered from the injector through the trabecular meshwork and into Schlemm's Canal. Look through the micro-insertion tube window during stent implantation to verify the stent is securely in place within the tissue before withdrawing injector back.

Important: Hold the stent delivery button down and carefully withdraw the injector from the stent prior to releasing your finger from the stent delivery button.

g. Upon release of the stent delivery button, a second audible click will indicate that the next stent is in position and ready to deliver h. Carefully move the injector at least two clock hours away from the first stent implant. Approach the trabecular

meshwork and repeat steps c - f.

After successful implantation of the second stent, carefully withdraw the injector from the implant site, release the stent delivery button and remove the injector from the eye . Confirm proper placement of the two implanted stents, ensuring that each stent flange is visible in the anterior

chamber (shown below in Figure 8). k. Note: minimal blood reflux is a normal physiological response to placement of the stents, although this does not occur in all cases.



Figure 8. iStent inject W Implant Sites

Important Notes 1. If the first stent is under implanted **and** remains on the trocar, then use an alternative "flush technique" procedure to re-attempt stent implantation in the nearest available trabecular meshwork tissue (within 1 clock hour away); see

Figure 9. m. If the first stent is under implanted **and does not** remain on trocar, this stent can be 'rethreaded' onto the trocar by placing the trocar through the central inlet (Figure 9). Use the alternative "flush technique" to implant the stent.



Figure 9. iStent inject W rethreading of stent (top) and flush technique (bottom

n. Re-loading can be considered if the surgeon prematurely releases a stent prior to engaging the trocar with the trabecular meshwork.

o. If there is only one stent remaining in the injector, it's inportant to use the standard "dimple technique" to implant the stent after it's been rethread onto the trocar. p. There are a total of four positions available on the injector to implant the two stents. After the stent delivery button

has been depressed for the fourth time, the injector willing longer function. g. In the event that the first injector does not deliver two stents successfully, confirm that the number of stents

- implanted is less than two (2) before utilizing a second injector. Perform the following step Inspect the micro-insertion tube under the surgical microscope and verify that at least one stent remains within
- the injector; or, verify that at least one stent has been retrieved from the eve. To prevent implantation of more than two stents, do not attempt delivery of additional stents with a second injector above the number verified still within the first injector or retrieved from the eve
- At the end of the procedure, the following should be performed:
- automated irrigation/aspiration to remove viscoelastic and refluxed blood. Repeat as needed until all viscoelastic has been removed.
- Inflate the anterior chamber with saline solution as needed to achieve physiologic pressure. Ensure that the corneal incision is sealed, and place 10-0 nylon suture if needed.

Postoperative Instructions

- 1. Patients should be managed postoperatively for IOP increases that may occur in the early postoperative period as a possible sequelae following cataract surgery in patients with glaucoma. Additionally, monitor the patient postoperatively and consider an appropriate treatment regimen to reduce intraocular pressure if need be. 2. Gonioscopy should be performed to assess the iStent inject W position postoperatively.
- 3. Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) is a useful adjunctive diagnostic aid in case of poor visualization of stents via
- 4. Variations in gonioscopic visualization and limitations of UBM may prevent localization of a stent. However, in the absence of clinical sequelae, device adjustment or removal is not recommended.

5. It is highly recommended that Glaukos be contacted prior to post-operative device removal.

Postoperative Retrieval of an Implanted Stent

If the surgeon determines that an instrument is required to recapture a stent after the procedure, micro forceps of the

surgeon's choice can be used by the surgeon as follows:

1. Prep the patient as one would for stent implantation surgery.

2. Re-open the eye at the preferred location in order to reach the stent. A clear corneal incision measuring approximate 1.5 mm in length is recommended.

- 3. Use cohesive viscoelastic to inflate the anterior chamber<mark>t</mark>to create access to the stent's location, move the stent away
- from a delicate structure if loose, and/or protect intraocular tissues. 4. Use a gonioscope if needed to visualize the location of the stent in the anterior chamber.
- 5. Insert a micro forceps device through the corneal incision and grasp the stent in a convenient and secure manner
- before removing the stent from the anterior chamber. 6. Irrigate the anterior chamber with balanced salt solution (BSS) through the corneal wound to remove all viscoelastic. Press down on the posterior edge of the incision as needed to facilitate complete removal of viscoelastic. Repeat as
- needed until all viscoelastic has been removed. 7. Inflate the anterior chamber with saline solution as needed to achieve normal physiologic pressure.
- 8. Ensure that the corneal incision is sealed.
- 8. ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING
- Adverse events and/or potentially sight-threatening complications that may reasonably be regarded as device related
- must be reported to Glaukos Corporation at: U.S. Toll Free Phone Number: 1-800-GLAUKOS (452-8567)

Alternate Phone Number: 949-367-9600

- Fax Number: 949-297-4540
- 9. HOW SUPPLIED

The iStent inject W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System is supplied as follows. Two stents are preloaded within the single-use injector system, and the system is provided sterile and non-pyrogenic in a Tyvek tray. Each stent system is individually serialized, and the serial number is provided on the tray lid and unit carton. The device has been sterilized by gamma radiation.

10. STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

The device should be stored at room temperature in the range of 15-30° C. 11. EXPIRATION DATE

The expiration date on the device package (Tyvek tray lid) is the sterility expiration date. In addition, there is a sterility expiration date that is clearly indicated on the outside of the unit carton. Sterility is assured if the tray seal is not punctured or damaged before the expiration date. This device should not be used past the indicated sterility expiration

12. RETURN GOODS POLICY Please contact Glaukos Corporation

13. iSTENT INJECT G2-M-IS SYSTEM - PIVOTAL CLINICAL TRIAL RESULTS

e safety and effectiveness of the iStent inject System was assessed through a clinical trial, known as the iStent inject Pivotal Trial (Protocol GC-008) under Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) G1003261. The aim of the iStent inje Pivotal Trial was to establish a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the iStent inject for use in conjunction with cataract surgery for the reduction of intraocular pressure (IOP) in adult patients with mild to moderate primary open-angle glaucoma (OAG). Data from this clinical study were the primary basis for the PMA approval decision. Key safety and effectiveness information derived from the pivotal study are summarized below. ent inject implants were implanted using an injector that is slightly different from the commercially available injector. Minor change

ufacturing process to improve manufacturability and to accommodate production scale-up. Validation testing was performed y was not altered. Clinical testing is not available for the modified injector.

A. Study Design

The iStent inject Pivotal Trial (Protocol GC-008) was a prospective, randomized, comparative, multicenter investigation conducted in the United States, in which a total of 505 eyes from 40 sites were randomized in a 3:1 fashion to undergo either implantation of the iStent *inject* after uncomplicated cataract surgery (iStent *inject* group) or to undergo cataract surgery without implantation of the iStent *inject* (Control broup). A total of 387 eyes were randomized to the iStent inject group and 118 eyes were randomized to the Control group. The study was initiated in September 2011 under IDE G100326. At the time of the database lock for this report, all available eyes had reached the time point at which the safety and effectiveness endpoints are evaluated, i.e., 24 months postoperative. The database for this PMA was locked on November 13, 2017.

The subjects and Medical Monitor were masked to treatment assignments. Each IOP measurement was to be performed using Goldmann applanation by two observers, one of whom was masked to the treatment group assignment.

There were two (2) hypotheses for the primary effectiveness endpoint defined as \geq 20% reduction in medication-free diurnal IOP at Month 24. The first hypothesis was that a larger proportion of eyes who received the iStent inject would neet the primary effectiveness endpoint than those who received cataract surgery alone. The second hypothesis wa that the 24-month IOP response rate of the iStent *inject* group would be better than 50%. This hypothesis was to be tested if the observed Cataract surgery-only response rate was greater than 35%.

The sample size calculation was based on the hypothesis testing for effectiveness, and evaluation for safety. For effectiveness, the sample size was estimated to be at least \$76 eyes (282 iStent *inject* and 94 control) for the first set of hypotheses, and 274 iStent *inject* eyes for the second set of hypotheses. For safety, a sample size of 300 iStent *inject* eyes at 24 months is sufficient to detect safety events occurring at a rate of 1% or greater. With allowance for up to 10% losses per year to follow-up at two years, at least 370 iStent *inject* eves and 123 control eyes were to be randomized. Therefore, the sample size was set at 500 randomized eyes (375 iStentinject and 125 control).

The study included a medical monitor, data safety monitoring board (DSMB), and specular microscopy reading center.

1. Clinical Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria - Enrollment-in-the-iStent-*inject*-Pivotal Trial was limited to<mark>l</mark> subjects-who met the following-key-preoperative inclusion- -

- Male or female, 45 years of age or older
- Diagnosis of mild to moderate primary open-angle glaucoma in the designated study eye
- At the Screening visit, a medicated mean (or median) $IOP \le 24 \text{ mmHg on a regimen of } 1 3 \text{ medications}$ At the Baseline visit, following medication washout, an unmedicated mean diurnal IOP > 21 mmHg and \leq 36
- mmHg, which also had to be \geq 3.0 mmHg higher than the medicated IOP measured at the Screening Visit, in the
- Gonioscopy confirming normal open angle in the designated study eye as defined by Shaffer grade \geq 3, and absence of peripheral anterior synechia (PAS), rubeosis or other angle abnormalities that could impair proper
- Clinically significant age-related cataract eligible for phacoemulsification and BCVA 20/40 or worse with medium Brightness Acuity Meter (BAT)
- Ability to provide an adequate, interpretable visual field
- Corneal endothelial cell criteria based on images taken prior to Operative visit as follows:
- minimum endothelial cell density as shown in Table 1 below
- maximum coefficient of variation (CV) = 0.45

Table 1. Minimum Endothelial Cell Density at Screening

Age at time of enrollment Minimum endothelial cell density
45 years 2200 cells/mm2
46 to 55 years 2000 cells/mm2
56 to 65 years 1800 cells/mm2
> 65 years 1600 cells/mm2
le and willing to provide written informed consent and to attend scheduled fol

Enrollment in the iStent *inject* Pivotal Trial was limited to<mark>l</mark> subjects who did not undergo complications of cataract surgery such as posterior capsular rupture, vitreous loss or complications associated with posterior chamber IOL

Subjects were not permitted to enroll in the study if they met any of the following key exclusion criteria related to glaucoma or IOP:

- pigmentary or pseudoexfoliative glaucoma
- traumatic, uveitic, neovascular, or angle-closure glaucoma; or glaucoma associated with vascular disorders functionally significant visual field loss
- prior incisional glaucoma surgery
- prior SLT within 90 days prior to screening
- prior ALT
- prior iridectomy or laser iridotomy
- visual field (mean deviation) worse than -12 db ineligible for ocular hypotensive medication washout period as determined by the investigator; a) visual field status would be placed at risk by washout period or b) unmedicated IOP after washout would be expected to
- exceed 36 mmHg clinically significant corneal dystrophy, active inflammation or surgery that may interfere with IOP measurement reliability
- elevated episcleral venous pressure such as associated with active thyroid orbitopathy or cavernous sinus fistula use of systemic medications that could cause an increase in IOP
- 2. Follow-up Schedule

All subjects were scheduled to return for follow-up examinations at defined intervals through 24 months. Table 2 Irrigate the anterior chamber with balanced salt solution (BSS) through the corneal wound manually, or with shows the schedule of events and procedures at each protocol-required visit.

Table 2. Schedule of Events and Procedures														
Procedure	Screening	Baseline	Operative	6 Hr	Day-1	Week 1	Month 1	Month 3	Month 6	Month 11 ¹	Month 12	Month 18	Month 23 ¹	Month 24
Informed Consent	Х													
Ocular Medical History	Х	Х												
Ocular Medication Assessment	Х	Х			X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Medical History/ Demographics	Х	Х			1									
Medication Assessment	Х	Х			¥	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Manifest Refraction	Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Best Corrected VA (Snellen) with BAT	Х													
Best Spectacle Corrected VA (ETDRS)		Х					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Specular Microscopy IOP via Applanation Tonometry Diurnal IOP via Applanation Tonometry Gonioscopy (all subjects) Ultrasound Biomicroscopic (UBM) Dilated Fundus Exam Clinical Assessment of Nerve Abnormality Optic Nerve Head Imaging⁴ Х Vertical C/D Ratio X Randomization X Surgical Data X X X Adverse Event Assessment X uhiective Assessmer VFQ-25 Questionnaire OSDI Questionnaire Х Х PHQ-9 Questionnai One-month washout visit -subjects on ocular hypotensive medication(s) at M

Gonioscopy was performed unless other changes (e.g., corneal edema) made it too difficult to do so. UBM was performed if stent visualization was not possible with gonioscopy or if elevated IOP > 30 mmHg at one month or I later

Clinical Endpoints

mean diurnal intraocular pressure (DIOP) from baseline. Subjects were defined as non-responders if they did not achieve the primary effectiveness endpoint, they were missing the 24-month IOP assessment outcomes, if ocular hypotensive medications were not washed out at the 24-month visit, if they underwent an IOP-affecting secondary surgical procedure (e.g., laser trabeculoplasty, trabeculectomy shunt or valve placement) prior to the 24-month visit, experienced hypotony (IOP < 6 mmHg) associated with clinically significant findings, experienced no light perception, or if they underwent a procedure to reposition or remove an iStent inject.

he secondary effectiveness endpoint was diurnal IOP reduction from baseline at Month 24. The diurnal IOP at 24 nonths for the subjects that did not meet criteria comparable to those listed above for the primary endpoint was mputed by the baseline IOP.

endpoint required a comparison between the iStent in ject and Control groups. The primary effectiveness analysis was performed using the Effectiveness Cohort, comprised of subjects randomized to the iStent inject group who vived 2 stents and subjects randomized to the control group. Vith regard to safety, anticipated and unanticipated AEs were reported for all subjects randomized in the study per the nent that they actually received. Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA), central corneal pachymetry, slit lamp and fundus exams, gonioscopy and central corneal endothelial cell density (ECD) were also used to assess safety.

Accountability of PMA Cohort

4-month postoperative visit. Of the 868 eyes enrolled, 41.2% (n = 358) were discontinued prior to surgery, primarily due to failure to meet eligibility

At 24 months postoperatively, 367 eyes in the iStent inject group and 108 Control group eyes completed the study. The outcomes provided were analyzed according to three (3) separate population cohorts: The Intent to Treat (ITT) population was defined as all randomized eyes. Eyes were grouped according to their randomization assignment (as randomized).

Cataract Surgery witl

iStent iniect

46/387 (11.9%)

Male 162/387 (41.9%)

White 282/387 (72.9%)

77/387 (19.9%)

3/387 (0.8%)

1/387 (0.3%)

182/387 (47.0%)

387/387 (100.0%)

Cataract Surgery W

iStent inject

24/387 (57.9%

98/387 (25.39

53/387 (16.39

Table 4. Preoperative Characteristics

Hispanic/Latino 24/387 (6.2%)

American Indian

N = 387

tandard Deviation

Race/Ethnicity

Two-sample t-tes

Parameter

²Fisher's exact test

Ocular Hypotensiv

Medications at

Visual Field

Mean Deviation (MD

Corneal Thickness at

creening (um)

Week 1	Month 1	Month 3	Month 6	Month 11 ¹	Month 12	Month 18	Month 23 ¹	Month 24
 Х								
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
		Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
Х	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х	
			Х		Х			Х
X2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Х³	Х³	Х³		Х³	Х³		Х3
	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х
			Х		Х	Х		Х
			Х		Х	Х		Х
			Х		Х	Х		Х
			Х		Х	Х		Х
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Х		Х		Х			Х
	Х		Х		Х			Х
	Х		Х		Х			Х

rmed at screening and Months 6, 12, 18, and 24 unless certain conditions (e.g., small pupil, dry eye) made it too difficult to do

At the time of database lock, of 868 eyes enrolled in the PMA study, 54.7% (475/868) are available for analysis at the

criteria or withdrawal of consent prior to the operative day. An additional 5 eyes (0.6%) were discontinued due to cataract surgery-related complications rendering them inelligible for study randomization. The remaining 58.2% (n = 505) eyes were randomized. Upon completion of uncomplicated cataract surgery, 387 eyes were randomized to the iStent inject group, and 118 eyes were randomized to the Control group, in which no additional surgery was planned.

The Effectiveness Cohort was used for the effectiveness analyses. The Effectiveness Cohort included 380 eyes randomized to the iStent *inject* group who were implanted with 2 stents and 118 subjects randomized to the

The Safety population was defined as all randomized eyes. All subjects in the Safety population were analyzed according to the treatment they actually received (i.e., 386 subjects who re cataract surgery and 119 eyes that underwent cataract surgery only).

Study Population Demographics and Baseline Parameters e demographics and preoperative characteristics of the study population were as follows:

Table 3. Demographics ITT Population

	Cataract Surgery Only N = 118	Total N = 505
	70.1	69.2
	7.7	8.1
	71	70
	46	45
	86	98
0.	.164	
	12/118 (10.2%)	58/505 (11.5%)
	42/118 (35.6%)	193/505 (38.2%)
	52/118 (44.1%)	208/505 (41.2%)
	12/118 (10.2%)	46/505 (9.1%)
0.	798	
	54/118 (45.8%)	216/505 (42.8%)
	64/118 (54.2%)	289/505 (57.2%)
0.	459	
	86/118 (72.9%)	368/505 (72.9%)
	10/118 (8.5%)	34/505 (6.7%)
	19/118 (16.1%)	96/505 (19.0%)
	1/118 (0.8%)	4/505 (0.8%)
	0/118 (0.0%)	1/505 (0.2%)
	1/118 (0.8%)	1/505 (0.2%)
	1/118 (0.8%)	1/505 (0.2%)
0.	221	
	64/118 (54.2%)	269/505 (53.3%)
	54/118 (45.8%)	236/505 (46.7%)
0.	.834	
	118/118 (100.0%)	505/505 (100.0%)

ITT Populatio	n	
act Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 387	Cataract Surgery Only N = 118	Total N = 505
4/387 (57.9%)	71/118 (60.2%)	295/505 (58.4%)
8/387 (25.3%)	30/118 (25.4%)	128/505 (25.3%)
3/387 (16.3%)	17/118 (14.4%)	80/505 (15.8%)
2/387 (0.5%)	0/118 (0.0%)	2/505 (0.4%)
0	.943	
-3.392	-3.357	-3.384
3.285	3.143	3.249
-2.79	-3.07	-2.89
-12.58	-11.67	-12.58
3.12	2.04	3.12
0	.915	
546.49	546.06	546.39
36.16	35.74	36.03
545.0	548.5	546.0
455.0	448.0	448.0
620.0	620.0	620.0
0	.909	

Paramo	eter	Cataract Surgery iStent <i>inject</i> N = 387	with	Cataract Surgery Only N = 118	Total N = 505
Medicated IOP at	Mean	17.54	l	17.54	17.54
Screening (mmHg)	Standard Deviation	2.99		2.78	2.94
	Median	17.5		18.0	17.5
	Minimum	9.0	1	11.0	9.0
	Maximum	26.0	1	24.0	26.0
	P-value ¹		0.9	997	
Unmedicated IOP at	Mean	24.83	1	24.50	24.75
Baseline (mmHg)	Standard Deviation	3.34	1	3.08	3.28
	Median	24.0		23.4	23.8
	Minimum	20.8		20.7	20.7
	Maximum	35.8	l .	34.3	35.8
	P-value ¹		0.3	328	
BSCVA at Baseline	Mean (Snellen)	0.234 (20/34)		0.232 (20/34)	0.234 (20/34)
LUYMAN	Standard Deviation	0.168	1 	0.161	0.166
	Median (Snellen)	0.22 (20/33)	1	0.20 (20/32)	0.22 (20/33)
	Minimum (Snellen)	-0.10 (20/16)		-0.08 (20/17)	-0.10 (20/16)
	Maximum (Snellen)	1.00 (20/200)		1.00 (20/200)	1.00 (20/200)
	P-value ¹		0.9	901	
Shaffer Angle Grade at Screening	III (25 - 35)	142/387 (36.7%)	1	40/118 (33.9%)	182/505 (36.0%)
	IV (> 35)	245/387 (63.3%)	1	78/118 (66.1%)	323/505 (64.0%)
	P-value ²		0.6	61	
Dral medications count as	s 1 medication. Combi	ination medications co	unt as 2 i	medications. Two subjects in th	e Cataract surgery with iSter

inject group took Diamox at Screening. ¹Two-sample t-test

²Fisher's exact test

Operative parameters are provided for the iStent inject portion of the procedure (**Table 5**). In one of the 387 eyes, after successful cataract extraction and IOL implantation, and subsequent randomization to the iStent inject group, stent implantation was not attempted as a result of excessive coughing (i.e., 0 stents implanted). Of the 386 eyes that were implanted with stents, 380 eyes (98.2%) were implanted with 2 stents. Four eyes (1.0%) were implanted with 3 stents and 2 eyes (<1%) were implanted with 1 stent.

In most eyes (85.5%; n = 331), only a single injector was employed. No associated clinical sequelae were noted in any cases in which a second injector was used. No difficulties with implantation were reported in the majority of cases The primary effectiveness endpoint was the proportion of eves with \geq 20% decrease in the 24-month medication-free (81.4%; n = 315). No associated clinical sequelae were noted in any cases in which stent implantation difficulty was

Table 5
Operative Parameters — iStent inject Portion of Procedure
ITT Population

		N = 387	Subjects
		Number	Percent
# of Implants			
Yes		386	99.7%
1 Sten	t	2	0.5%
2 Stents	5	380	98.2%
3 Stents	5	4	1.0%
No		1	0.3%
# of Attempts			
1		263	68.0%
12	2	76	19.6%
3	3	29	7.5%
5 3	3	18	4.7%
N/		1	0.3%
# of Injector Used ¹			
1		331	85.5%
12	2	55	14.2%
N.A.	1	1	0.3%
Difficulties with Implantation ¹			
Yes	5	71	18.3%
No)	315	81.4%
N.A.		1	0.3%

Percent = Number \div N x 100%. The iStent *inject* was not attempted for a subject due to coughing fit after randomization.

Reports of use of a second injector and of stent implantation difficulty are not mutually exclusive. Further, the same reason could be reported for 1 eye in both categories. The most common/notable reasons for use of a second injector include first injector did not deploy 2 starts (5.4%; n = 21), stent not adequately seated in trabecular meshwork (TM) (5.2%; n = 20), poor visibility (1.3%; n = 5), stent dislodged during I/A (0.3%; n = 1). The most common/notable reasons for stent implantation difficulty nclude injector did not deploy stent (5.9%; n = 23), stent not adequately seated in TM (6.2%; n = 24), injector initially did not (but did eventually) deploy stent (2.1%; n = 8), poor visibility (1.6%; n = 6); 2 stents implanted in same location (0.3%; n = 1). In these reports of 2nd injector used and/or stent implantation difficulty, no associated clinical sequelae were noted in any cases.

D. Safety and Effectiveness Results Safety Results

All safety analyses were performed on the Safety population. Findings are summarized for events occurring during the intraoperative period through the 24-month post-operative visit. The key safety outcomes for this study are presented below in **Tables 6 to 8**.

Best Spectacle Corrected Visual Acuity (BSCVA)

Most eyes in both groups achieved BSCVA of 20/40 or better at Month 24, with a slightly higher proportion of eyes achieving BSCVA of 20/40 or better in the iStent *inject* arm (98.9%) than in the control group (98.2%). Adverse Effects that Occurred in the PMA Clinical Study

Intraoperative AEs

A summary of intraoperative AEs is shown in **Table 6.** Because final study eligibility and randomization to treatment was determined post-cataract surgery, no subjects experiencing a predetermined cataract-surgery related AE such as posterior capsular rupture, vitreous loss or complications associated with posterior chamber IOL implantation were randomized. One eye experienced a corneal abrasion during cataract surgery and was subsequently randomized to the iStent *inject* group because this was not a clinically significant operative complication.

increased positive pressure requiring a corneal suture. Therefore, no attempts to implant stents was made, surgeries reported in both groups are shown in Table 8. and this subject was included in the control group of the Safety population. In the 386 iStent *inject* subjects implanted, 11 intraoperative AEs were reported during stent implantation (2.8%). A 4 cases of 3 stents being implanted (1.0%) and two cases of only 1 stent being implanted (0.5%).

Intraoperative Events	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 386 n (%)	Cataract Surgery Only N = 119 n (%)	Difference in % 95% Cl ¹
traoperative adverse events during taract surgery	1 Reports from 1 subjects 0.3%	0 Reports from 0 subjects 0.0%	0.3% (-0.2%, 0.8%)
blonged anterior chamber collapse	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
nificant hyphema (i.e. ≥10% of anterior amber)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
reous loss	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
rectomy	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
y choroidal hemorrhage	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
y choroidal effusion	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
nificant iris damage	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
nificant corneal injury	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
sterior capsular bag rupture	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
Inificant damage to trabecular meshwork	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
psulorhexis tear	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
nular rupture	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
ident zonular weakness or dehiscence	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
tached Descemet's membrane	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
complete phacoemulsification	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
mplications associated with posterior amber IOL implantation	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
terior chamber IOL implantation	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%, 0.0%)
her .			
rneal abrasion	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.3% (-0.2%, 0.8%)
traoperative adverse events during cent <i>inject</i> implantation	11 Reports from 11 subjects 2.8%	NA	NA
y choroidal hemorrhage	0 (0.0%)		
y choroidal effusion	0 (0.0%)		
blonged anterior chamber collapse	0 (0.0%)		
inificant hyphema (i.e. $\geq 10\%$ of anterior amber)	0 (0.0%)		
jnificant iris damage	0 (0.0%)		
nificant corneal injury	0 (0.0%)		
her	i		
tent implanted	2 (0.5%)		

Intraoperative Events	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 386 n (%)	Cataract Surgery Only N = 119 n (%)	Difference in % 95% Cl ¹
2 stents implanted in same location	1 (0.3%)		
3 stents implanted	4 (1.0%)		
Corneal abrasion	3 (0.8%)		
Stent implanted in ciliary body	1 (0.3%)		

The counts (n) are the number of subjects reported with the corresponding events, $\% = n \div N \times 100\%$. re were no cases in which stent implantation was attempted and 0 stents were implanted (i.e., failure to implant 2 stents) Postoperative AEs

There were no unanticipated adverse events. There were no reports of flat AC with lens cornea touch, shallow AC with iridocorneal apposition, shallow AC with peripheral iridocorneal apposition, wound dehiscence, endophthalmit corneal decompensation, choroidal hemorrhage or effusion,, aqueous misdirection, cyclodialysis, hypotony at one month postoperative or later, hypotony maculopathy, atrophy/phthisis, cup-to-disc (CD) ratio increase of ≥ 0.3 , loss of light perception or stent dislocation. Moreover, no cases of pupillary block or hypopyon were reported during the

A lower proportion of subjects in the iStent inject group experienced postoperative ocular AEs than in the Control group (54.1% of subjects [n = 209] in the iStent *inject* group and 62.2% of subjects [n = 74] in the Control group). A list of the more common AEs (occurring at a rate of 2% or greater) and the associated rates are provided in Table 7 Anterior segment inflammation, which was generally mild, was reported in 5.7% of iStent inject subjects and 4.2% of Control subjects.

Postoperative Events	Cataract Surgery with	Cataract Surgery Only	Difference in %
	iStent <i>inject</i>	N = 119	95% Cl ¹
	N = 386	n (%)	
	n (%)		
Ocular surface disease	62 (16.1%)	20 (16.8%)	-0.7% (-8.6%, 7.1%)
Stent obstruction, partial or complete, regardless of how long the obstruction is present ¹	24 (6.2%)	NA	
Any intraocular inflammation (non pre-existing) remaining or arising after the protocol's specified medication regimen is complete ²	22 (5.7%)	5 (4.2%)	1.5% (-2.8%, 5.8%)
Secondary surgical intervention ³	21 (5.4%)	6 (5.0%)	0.4% (-4.2%, 5.0%)
Ocular allergies	11 (2.8%)	4 (3.4%)	-0.5% (-4.2%, 3.1%)
Loss of BSCVA of 2 line or more (10 letters or more on ETDRS chart) at or after 3 months postoperative	10 (2.6%)	5 (4.2%)	-1.6% (-5.6%, 2.3%)
Posterior vitreous detachment	10 (2.6%)	5 (4.2%)	-1.6% (-5.6%, 2.3%)
Foreign body sensation	9 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2.3% (0.8%, 3.8%)
Blurred vision/visual disturbance	9 (2.3%)	2 (1.7%)	0.7% (-2.1%, 3.4%)
Extraocular inflammation	9 (2.3%)	2 (1.7%)	0.7% (-2.1%, 3.4%)
Epiretinal membrane	9 (2.3%)	3 (2.5%)	-0.2% (-3.4%, 3.0%)
IOP increase \ge 10 mmHg vs. baseline IOP occurring at \ge Month 14	8 (2.1%)	1 (0.8%)	1.2% (-0.9%, 3.4%)
Perioperative ocular pain within 14 days of surgery	8 (2.1%)	1 (0.8%)	1.2% (-0.9%, 3.4%)
Vitreous floaters	8 (2.1%)	3 (2.5%)	-0.4% (-3.6%, 2.7%)
Corneal abrasion	8 (2.1%)	4 (3.4%)	-1.3% (-4.8%, 2.3%)
Corneal opacity	4 (1.0%)	3 (2.5%)	-1.5% (-4.5%, 1.5%)
Hyperemia	3 (0.8%)	7 (5.9%)	-5.1% (-9.4%, -0.8%)
Non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy	2 (0.5%)	3 (2.5%)	-2.0% (-4.9%, 0.9%)
IOP increase requiring management with oral or intravenous medications or with surgical	1 (0.3%)	3 (2.5%)	-2.3% (-5.1%, 0.6%)

intervention at \geq Month 1⁴

The counts (n) are the number of subjects reported with the corresponding events. $\% = n \div N \times 100\%$.

There were no cases of iridodialysis and no cases of significant hyphema (\geq 10% of anterior chamber). 1. In certain cases of stent obstruction, the investigators reported associated findings of transient hyphema (n=8), inferior pigment (n=14

and/or focal goniosynechiae (n=10). In 8 cases, investigators reported obstruction of both stents. Three cases of stent obstruction were treated with laser; obstruction resolved in all three cases. Seventeen cases were persistent at Month 24. Of these 17 cases, the primary

effectiveness endpoint was met in 9 cases despite no treatment with laser. 2. Three subjects in the iStent inject group had chronic iritis defined as anterior cells or flare of grade 1+ or worse persisting for more than

3 months postoperatively that recurs less than three months after discontinuing the initial postoperative steroid regimen. 3. The events of "Glaucoma progression requiring secondary survical intervention' (4 iStent inject and 1 Cataract) and "Medicatio

intolerance requiring surgical intervention" (1 iStent inject and 0 Cataract) were included 4. The events of IOP increase requiring management with oral or intravenous medications or with surgical intervention at ≥ Month 1 and IOP increase ≥ 10 mmHg vs. baseline IOP occurring at ≥ Month 1 were mutually exclusive. The events of IOP increase requiring surgical

intervention occurring at ≥ Month 1 were also included in the reports of "Secondary Surgical Intervention" In addition to the AEs reported in **Table 7**, events that occurred at a rate of < 2% in both groups included age-related

macular degeneration, chalazion, conjunctivitis, corneal guttata, cystoid macular edema, diplopia, disc hemorrhage ectropion, glaucoma progression requiring surgical intervention, lattice degeneration, nerve fiber layer loss, ocular irritation, optic nerve thinning/cupping, visual field loss ≥ 2.5 dB and vitreous hemorrhage. AEs that occurred at < - 2% in the iStent*-inject* group-included one case-(0.3%) each-of blepharospasm, branch-retinal vein-occlusion,-corneal edema ≥ 30 days, corneal striae, eyelash loss, iris atrophy, iris strand, medication intolerance requiring surgical intervention, ptosis, residual cortex, retinal detachment, retinal tear, and worsening glaucoma; 2 cases (0.5%) each o anterior basement membrane dystrophy, extraocular papilloma, ocular pain, punctal stenosis, retinal drusen, retinal hemorrhage and retinal pigment epithelial changes; 3 cases (0.8%) each of peripapillary atrophy, retinal flap tears, retina hole and notching; 4 cases (1.0%) of deep stents and transient mild ocular discomfort; 5 cases (1.3%) of subconjunctival nemorrhage and 7 cases (1.8%) of goniosynechiae. AEs that occurred at < 2% in the control group included 1 cas (0.8%) each of anterior scleritis, central retinal artery occlusion, corneal ulcer, flashes, iris neovascularization and IOI lislocation; and 2 cases (1.7%) of extraocular trauma.

The study investigators determined for each intraoperative and postoperative ocular AE reported whether an event wa considered serious. The proportion of eyes with serious A $ar{s}$ s (SAEs) was 0.8% (n=3) in the iStent inject group and 2.5% (n=3) in the control group. iStent inject SAEs comprised 1 case each of mild partial stent obstruction that did not require intervention, retinal tear requiring laser retinopexy, and glaucoma progression requiring ExPress shunt implantation SAEs reported for the control group consisted of 1 case each of blurred vision/visual disturbance; epiretinal membran equiring vitrectomy with membrane peel, and central retinal artery occlusion and neovascularization requiring pan retinal photocoagulation

A total of 56 AEs reported for 48 iStent inject eyes (12.4%) were determined to be device related including all cases of stent obstruction, deep stents, 3 stents implanted, 1 stent implanted, 2 stents implanted in the same location, and stent implanted in the ciliary body, which accounted for 36 of the 56 device-related AEs. Other AEs determined to b device-related included 8 cases (2.1%) of intraocular inflammation, 7 cases (1.8%) of goniosynechiae, 3 cases (0.8%) of intraoperative corneal abrasion, and 1 case (0.3%) each of itis strand and ocular irritation.

²In each of the four eyes with "deep stents," there was a single stent per eye that was unable to be visualized by either gonioscopy or UBM at the last 3 visits, despi modify device positioning, none experienced an endothelial cell loss >30% at 24 months or posterior segment sequelae, and three of the four eyes met th

Secondary Surgical Interventions

econdary ocular surgeries during the course of the study, some of which were to achieve further IOP reduction, One of the 387 subjects randomized to iStent *inject* implantation experienced a coughing fit that resulted in occurred in 5.4% of iStent *inject* group subjects (n = 21) and 5.0% (n = 6) of subjects in the control group.

Table 8. Surgical Interventions i	n the Study Eye Safety Populatio
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Secondary Surgical Intervention	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 386 n (%)	Cataract Surgery Only N = 119 n (%)	Difference in % 95% Cl ¹
Overall	22 Reports from 21 subjects 5.4%	7 Reports from 6 subjects 5.0%	0.4% (-4.2%, 5.0%)
IOL exchange ¹	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.3% (-0.2%, 0.8%)
IOL repositioning	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	-0.8% (-2.5%, 0.8%)
Laser for stent obstruction ²	3 (0.8%)	NA	
Laser retinopexy	6 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1.6% (0.3%, 2.8%)
Panretinal photocoagulation	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	-0.8% (-2.5%, 0.8%)
Posterior vitreolysis	2 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.5% (-0.2%, 1.2%)
Removal of residual cortex	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.3% (-0.2%, 0.8%)
Selective laser trabeculoplasty	2 (0.5%)	3 (2.5%)	-2.0% (-4.9%, 0.9%)
Trabeculectomy/Express Shunt	4 (1.0%)	1 (0.8%)	0.2% (-1.7%, 2.1%)
Vitrectomy ³	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.3% (-0.2%, 0.8%)
Vitrectomy with membrane peel	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.8%)	-0.6% (-2.3%, 1.1%)

The counts (n) are the number of subjects reported with the corresponding events. $\% = n \div N \times 100\%$

All SSIs, regardless of reason, were included.

There were no cases of free-floating stents leading to sequelae in the posterior segment. ¹ The reason for IOL exchange was dysphotopsia despite good spherical/astigmatic refractive outcome. The dysphotopsia resolved

following exchange of the original spheric acrylic IOL with an aspheric silicone IOL of equivalent refractive power. ² Stent obstruction was treated with argon laser iridoplasty in 2 cases and Nd:YAG laser membranectomy in 1 case.

³ The reason for vitrectomy was retinal detachment repair.

Other Operative/Postoperative Observations

Reporting of other ocular observations was at the study investigator's discretion. Similar data may not be reported fo every subject, or consistently within the course of a given subject's study participation. Consequently, no conclusion regarding the overall frequency of these findings can be drawn from the incidence rates noted. In no cases were both stents not visible on the operative day. The other ocular observations that were reported operatively included, but were not limited to: 1 implanted stent not visible on the operative day (3.6%; n = 14). In 12 of these 14 eyes, stents were visualized postoperatively. In the remaining 2 cases, non-visible stents were detected via ultrasound biomicrosco (UBM) prior to Month 24 with minimal associated clinical sequelae besides "deep stent" as an adverse event (AE). The other ocular observations that were reported postoperatively included, but were not limited to: goniosynechiae (7.7% n = 30); microhyphema (3.9%; n = 15); and corneal endothe ial pigment (0.8%; n = 3). Early IOP increase ≥ 10 mmHg (i.e. prior to Month 1) or IOP increase < 10 mmHg was reported in 2.6% (n = 10) eyes in the iStent inject group and 5.0% (n = 6) eyes in the Control group.

Corneal Endothelial Cell Density

There was little difference in endothelial cell loss (ECL) between the iStent *inject* and Control groups. Results were Not all symbols may be included in the labeling of this product. consistent with previous reports of cataract surgery-related ECL. The mean percent change in ECD from baseline to 24 months was -13.1% (SD 12.4; 95% CI -14.4%, -11.8%) for the iStent inject group and -12.3% (SD 12.7%; 95% CI -14.8%, -9.8%) for the control group.

A similar proportion of eyes in each group (10.4% in the iStent inject group and 9.5% in the control group) experienced ECL > 30% at 24 months postoperatively.

2. Effectiveness Results

Results from the primary and secondary endpoints are shown in **Table 9**. The primary effectiveness endpoint was met, with 75.8% (288/380) in the iStent inject group and 61.9% (73/118) in the Control group achieving a clinically significant (≥ 20%) reduction in medication-free diurnal IOP from baseline at 24 months. This difference between groups was statistically significant (p=0.003).

The secondary endpoint, a clinically significant mean change in medication-free diurnal IOP from baseline at 24-month postoperative examination, was met. The mean reduction in medication-free mean diurnal IOP from baseline to 24 months was 7.0 mmHg (SD 4.0) in the iStent inject group compared to 5.4 mmHg (SD 3.7) in the control group (p <0.001).

Table 9. Primary and Secondary Effectiveness Results

Effectiveness Endpoint (Evaluated at 24 Months Postoperatively)	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 380	Cataract Surgery Only N = 118	Difference (iStent <i>inject</i> vs. control)	P-value for difference
Proportion of subjects with medication-free DIOP reduction $\ge 20\%$ from baseline	75.8%	61.9%	13.9%	0.003 ²
Medication-free mean DIOP (mmHg) change from baseline ¹	-7.0	-5.4		< 0.0013

Subjects without Month 24 medication-free diurnal IOP, or with IOP-related SSIs, loss of light perception or hypotony (IOP < 6 mmHg) associated with clinically significant findings prior to 24 months were treated as non-responders. iStent inject subjects with stent reposition or removal prior to 24 months were treated as non-responders.

 The 24-month diurnal IOP values were subtracted from baseline diurnal IOP in all subjects, except for the non-responders described above. For the non-responders described above, the baseline diurnal IOP values were used for the 24-month diurnal IOP values (i.e., a change of 0 mmHg was used).

2. One-sided Fisher's exact test with a significance level of 0.025.

3. One-sided two-sample t-test with a significance level of 0.025.

Additional detail regarding the reasons patients did not achieve the primary endpoint (IOP non-responders) is shown in **Table 10**.

Table 10. Non-Responder Categories at 24 Months Effectiveness Cohort

	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> N = 380 n/N (%)	Cataract Surgery Only N = 118 n/N (%)
Total Non-Responders	92 (24.2%)	45 (38.1%)
Non-Responders: 24-month unmedicated diurnal IOP reduction from baseline < 20%	56 (14.7%)	26 (22.0%)
Non-Responders for reasons other than IOP reduction ¹	36 (9.5%)	19 (16.1%)
Secondary glaucoma surgery ²	5 (1.3%)	3 (2.5%)
Other IOP-affecting secondary surgery ³	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Stent reposition or removal	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Loss of light perception	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Clinically significant hypotony	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did not complete medication washout – Safety concerns	12 (3.2%)	4 (3.4%)
Did not complete medication washout – Instructions not provided/followed ⁴	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)
Missing 24-month diurnal IOP data ⁴	19 (5.0%)	10 (8.5%)
Death	4 (1.1%)	6 (5.1%)
Investigator's decision	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Lost contact	8 (2.1%)	2 (1.7%)
Subject's decision	6 (1.6%)	2 (1.7%)

n = number of eyes with the corresponding responses. % = $n \div N \ge 100\%$. Subjects were included in the primary category of "Non-Responders for reasons other than IOP reduction".
Secondary glaucoma surgeries include trabeculectomy, and laser trabeculoplasty.

Other IOP-affecting secondary surgeries.
The outcomes of these subjects were imputed for the 24-month analysis.

There were 2 subjects on oral medication at 23 months and both subjects underwent washout. Hence, although any subjects on oral medication at 24 months would have been considered non-responders due to the potential to confound the endpoint analysis, there were no subjects in this category.

3. <u>Summary of Supplemental Clinical Information</u> A. For the pivotal trial of the iStent inject, the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI©) was self-administered by study subjects. The OSDI questionnaire contains 12 questions involving ocular symptoms, vision-related function and environmental triggers experienced by the subject during the past week, and is assessed on a scale of 0 to 100 with higher scores representing greater disability. Table 11 summarizes the change in OSDI subscales and overall score from baseline. The mean improvements at 24 months from baseline were slightly higher in the iStent inject group compared to the control group involving ocular symptoms (-16.41 vs. -10.69) and vision-related function (-22.60 vs. -18.56) and similar involving environmental triggers (-7.41 vs. -7.70). The mean improvement in OSDI overall score at 24 months was also higher in the iStent inject group compared to the control group (-16.25 vs. -12.38). The questionnaire used to collect these data has not been validated, and therefore the true rates of these symptoms may differ from those presented in the Table 11.

Table 11 Change in OSDI Questionnaire Sub-Scale Score from Baseline Safety Population

	Cataract Surgery with iStent <i>inject</i> Total Number of Subjects = 386			Cataract Surgery Only Total Number of Subjects = 119				
Statistics	1M n (%)	6M n (%)	12M n (%)	24M n (%)	1M n (%)	6M n (%)	12M n (%)	24M n (%)
Ocular Symptoms (Q	1, Q2, Q3)							
N	382	376	367	361	117	118	115	109
Mean	-11.87	-15.04	-16.93	-16.41	-6.41	-10.55	-11.53	-10.69
SD	22.39	21.23	19.96	21.13	20.53	18.45	17.16	17.74
Median	-10.0	-15.0	-15.0	-15.0	-5.0	-10.0	-10.0	-10.0
Min	-100	-100	-90.0	-100	-55.0	-60.0	-75.0	-65.0
Max	75.0	50.0	33.8	60.0	80.0	40.0	35.0	35.0
Not Reported	2	1	3	5	2	0	1	0
Vision-Related Function (04. 05. 06. 07. 08. 09)								
N	379	374	363	359	117	118	115	109
Mean	-16.07	-21.46	-22.82	-22.60	-14.08	-17.32	-20.92	-18.56
SD	29.80	27.93	28.22	27.30	29.94	27.49	27.66	28.92
Median	-12.5	-18.8	-18.8	-18.8	-6.3	-12.5	-16.7	-12.5
Min	-93.8	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100
Max	100.0	77.1	62.5	62.5	87.5	75.0	37.5	68.8
Not Reported	5	3	7	7	2	0	1	0
Environmental Trig	gers (Q10, Q11, Q	12)						
N	370	367	358	353	114	116	113	106
Mean	-5.20	-7.27	-7.83	-7.41	-4.61	-7.26	-7.82	-7.70
SD	21.52	20.70	21.65	22.61	21.95	21.61	21.60	20.66
Median	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Min	-83.3	-100	-100	-100	-75.0	-100	-100	-75.0
Max	100.0	58.3	75.0	66.7	66.7	41.7	33.3	75.0
Not Reported	14	10	12	13	5	2	3	3
Overall Composite S	core							
N	382	376	367	361	117	118	115	109
Mean	-11.87	-15.44	-16.66	-16.25	-8.48	-11.91	-13.60	-12.38
SD	20.29	19.39	19.38	19.73	20.02	18.01	17.18	18.38
Median	-10.4	-12.5	-13.3	-12.5	-6.2	-10.4	-10.7	-10.4
Min	-93.8	-93.8	-95.8	-100	-60.4	-66.7	-64.6	-62.5
Max	72.9	37.5	31.3	45.8	70.8	37.5	17.6	56.3
Not Reported	2	1	3	5	2	0	1	0

Each sub-scale is a summarization of some specific questions to the OSDI.

3. Based on proportional analysis using a non-responder imputation for missing data. Subjects without Month 24 medication-free diurnal 10P, or with 10P-related SSIs, loss of light perception or hypotony (10P < 6 mmHg) associated with clinically significant findings prior to 24 months were treated as non-responders. iStent *inject* subjects with stent reposition or removal prior to 24 months were treated as non-responders.

B. In the iStent inject pivotal trial, at 24 months, the proportion of subjects with medication-free diurnal IOP \leq 18 mmHg was 63.2% in the treatment group and 50.0% in the control group (difference 13.2%; 95% Cl 2.9%, 23.4%).³

C. In the iStent inject pivotal trial, mean observed unmedicated IOP was higher at baseline and lower at 24 months in the iStent inject group. IOP at baseline was 24.8 (SD 3.4) mmHg in the iStent inject group and 24.5 (SD 3.1) mmHg in the control group. Unmedicated IOP at 24 months was 17.1 mmHg (SD 3.6) at 24 months in the iStent *inject* group and 17.8 mmHg (SD 3.5) in the control group⁴.

D. Of the subjects who were responders (e.g., 24-month unmedicated mean DIOP was reduced by \geq 20% as compared with baseline in the absence of IOP-affecting surgery during the study), 84% of subjects in the iStent inject group (243/288) and 67% of subjects in the Control Group (49/73) were not using ocular hypotensive medication at 23 months. ⁴Based on mean observed unmedicated IOP values from only those subjects with unmedicated IOP and without SSIs or other events (including loss of light perception or hypotony (IOP < 6 mmHg) associated with clinically significant findings).

Symbol	Definition	Symbol	Definition
REF	Catalogue/Model Number	Ĩ	Consult instructions For use
SN	Serial Number (for the stent)		Manufacturer
LOT	Lot Number	STERILE R	Sterilized by Gamma Irradiation
2	Do not reuse	RxOnly	For prescription use only
yyyy-mm-dd	Use-by date (year-month-day)	15°C	Temperature Storage Requirement
	Do not use if package is damaged		MR Conditional

15. MRI SAFETY INFORMATION

14. LABELING

Non-clinical testing has demonstrated that the iStent inject W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System Model G2-W is MR Conditional. A patient with this device can be safely scanned in an MR system meeting the following conditions: Static magnetic field of 3 T or less

Maximum spatial gradient magnetic field of

4,000 gauss/cm (40 T/m)

· Maximum MR system reported, whole body averaged specific absorption rate (SAR) of 4 W/kg

Under the scan conditions defined above, the iStent inject W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System Model G2-W is not expected to produce a clinically significant temperature rise after 15 minutes of continuous scanning. In non-clinical testing, the image artifact caused by the device extends less than 15 mm from the device when imaged with a gradient echo pulse sequence and a 3.0 T MRI system.

16. CAUTION

Federal law restricts this device to sale by, or on the order of, a physician. Physician training by certified Glaukos personnel is required prior to use of this device. Training consists of three main

parts:

Didactic session

 Simulated implantation of iStent inject W • Supervised iStent inject W implantation of clinical cases until implantation proficiency is demonstrated

Manufacturer:

Glaukos Corporation

229 Avenida Fabricante

San Clemente, CA 92672 U.S.A. Tel: +1.949.367.9600, Fax: +1.949.367.9984

www.glaukos.com Toll-Free: 1-800-GLAUKOS (452-8567)

Patented: Patent info: www.glaukos.com/patents

Glaukos® and iStent inject® W are registered trademarks of Glaukos Corporation. Tyvek[®] is a registered trademark of DuPont USA.